

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO |). | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|-------|--------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/613,991 07/08/2003 | | 07/08/2003 | Yoshikazu Watanabe | 1046.1295 | 6252 | |
| 21171 | 7590 | 10/12/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| STAAS & HALSEY LLP | | | | BRINEY III, | BRINEY III, WALTER F | |
| SUITE 700 1201 NEW | - | AVENUE, N.W. | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WASHING | GTON, | DC 20005 | 2646 | 2646 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005 | DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | \sim | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 10/613,991 | WATANABE ET AL. | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Walter F. Briney III | 2646 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | |
| REPLY FILED <u>19 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH | IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION | FOR ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparison to the state of the s | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | iffidavit, or other evidence, w compliance with 37 CFR 41. | hich .31; or |

| Advisory Action | 10/613,991 | WATANABE ET AL. | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| ` | Walter F. Briney III | 2646 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | 7055 | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH | | • | | | | |
| 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o | | | andanment of | | | |
| this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo | | | | | | |
| places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No | | | | | | |
| | (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the | | | | | |
| following time periods: | | | | | | |
| a) \bowtie The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of | - | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv | | | r is later. In no | | | |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on | which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a | | | | | |
| been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta | | | | | | |
| above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month | ,, ,, ,, | , , , | \ , , | | | |
| earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | , , | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com | | | | | | |
| of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e | | | | | | |
| Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be | be filed within the time period set to | om in 37 CFR 41.37(8 | а). | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | but mains to the state of filling a built | £ | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | pecause | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | • | i L below), | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | • * | educina or simplifyina | the issues for | | | |
| appeal; and/or | 11 | 3 , , 3 | | | | |
| (d) $igotimes$ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | jected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | illowable if submitted in a separate | , timely filed amendm | ent canceling | | | |
| 7. \square For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | | ill be entered and an | explanation of | | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro | ovided below or appended. | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | , | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b | | | | | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | id suπicient reasons why the aπida | vit or other evidence i | s necessary | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing | r a Notice of Appeal, but prior to th | e date of filing a brief | will not be | | | |
| entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fai | ils to provide a | | | |
| showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal | | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after | entry is below or attac | ched. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | in condition for allowa | nce because: | | | |
| | (DTO/DD/00 - DTO 1110 - | M-7-) | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| 13. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

•Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly recited limitations directed toward notifying "by an alarm" were not previously presented nor indicated as allowable subject matter. As such they constitute further search and consideration.

SINH TRAN
SUPPRISORY PATENT EXAMINER